

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP  
ERIC P. JACOBS (State Bar No. 88413)  
PETER H. GOLDSMITH (State Bar No. 91294)  
ROBERT A. McFARLANE (State Bar No. 172650)  
IGOR SHOIKET (State Bar No. 190066)  
Two Embarcadero Center, 8th Floor  
San Francisco, California 94111  
Telephone: (415) 576-0200  
Facsimile: (415) 576-0300  
E-mail: epjacobs@townsend.com  
phgoldsmith@townsend.com  
ramcfarlane@townsend.com  
ishoiket@townsend.com

Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant  
FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

ALPHA & OMEGA SEMICONDUCTOR,  
INC., a California corporation; and  
ALPHA & OMEGA SEMICONDUCTOR,  
LTD., a Bermuda corporation,

Plaintiffs and Counterdefendants,

v.

FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR  
CORP., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant and Counterclaimant.

Case No. C 07-2638 JSW (EDL)  
(Consolidated with Case No. C 07-2664 JSW)

**DECLARATION OF IGOR SHOIKET IN  
SUPPORT OF FAIRCHILD  
SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION'S  
MOTION TO COMPEL RESPONSES TO  
INTERROGATORIES AND  
PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS**

Date: December 11, 2007  
Time: 9:00 a.m.  
Courtroom: Courtroom E, 15th Floor

Hon. Elizabeth D. Laporte

AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.

I, Igor Shoiket, declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of California and am admitted to practice in this Court. I am a partner at Townsend and Townsend and Crew LLP, attorney of record for Defendant and Counterclaimant Fairchild Semiconductor Corporation ("Fairchild") in this matter.

2. This Declaration is submitted in support of Fairchild's Motion to Compel Responses to Interrogatories and Production of Documents. I have personal knowledge of the matters stated herein and if called to testify as a witness, I could and would competently testify thereto.

3. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a true and correct copy of Fairchild's First Set of Interrogatories to Alpha and Omega Semiconductor, Ltd. ("AOS") dated July 27, 2007.

4. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2 is a true and correct copy of Fairchild's First Set of Requests for Production to AOS dated July 27, 2007.

5. Attached hereto as Exhibit 3 is a true and correct copy of AOS's Responses and Objections to Fairchild's First Set of Interrogatories dated September 28, 2007.

6. Attached hereto as Exhibit 4 is a true and correct copy of AOS's Responses and Objections to Fairchild's First Set of Requests for Production dated September 28, 2007.

7. Attached hereto as Exhibit 5 is a true and correct copy of a letter dated October 10, 2007 from Fairchild counsel Priya Sreenivasan to AOS counsel Harry F. Doscher.

8. Attached hereto as Exhibit 6 is a true and correct copy of a letter dated October 25, 2007 from AOS counsel Ahren C. Hoffman to Fairchild counsel Priya Sreenivasan.

9. On November 5, 2007, I contacted Andrew Wu of Morgan, Lewis and Bockius LLP by telephone to discuss, among other things, Fairchild's motion to compel discovery. Mr. Wu indicated that AOS's position in regards to its objections to the definition of "accused product(s)" in Fairchild's discovery requests remained unchanged as of that time. Mr. Wu further indicated that he would review the correspondence between the parties on this issue and would inform Fairchild if AOS's position changed on November 6, 2007. Attached hereto as Exhibit 7 is a true and correct copy of a letter dated November 6, 2007 from AOS counsel Andrew J. Wu to me.

10. Attached hereto as Exhibit 8 is a true and correct copy of a letter dated August 3, 2005 from Steven Schott, Director of Patents and Associate General Counsel at Fairchild, to Michael Chang, CEO of AOS.

///

///

///

1 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the  
2 foregoing is true and correct.

3 Executed this 6th day of November, 2007, in San Francisco, California.

4  
5 By: /s/Igor Shoiket  
6 IGOR SHOIKET

7 61201208 v1  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28